lnatrumenta

Musical instruments are objects

Instruments were once only TANGIBLE objects - items that you hold. bang. blow, strum and

They are thus intimately connected to the qualities of the human body and the act of making air vibrate with our dexterous parts.

These instruments are gestural and expressive - a good instrument should shorten the gap between intention and action as much as possible.

But instruments needn't be tangible anymore a DAW is an instrument, so is a piece of code.



Digital instruments are often simulacra of once physical things interfaces resemble physical assemblies. Like trombones and violins, they facilitate

Others start afresh (digitalisation), transcending the (digitisation) and their association with bodies and using the unique qualities of digital technology as a starting point; they facilitate

> skilled behaviour.

the following of rules.

Must instruments make air vibrate, or can they simply suggest ways of doing so?

a subset of tools

As tools they are defined by their function, relationship to agents and psychic continuity. You can use noninstruments as instruments, but they become instruments when you use them intentionally [AGENCY] to make sounds. [FUNCTION] They return to being tea- [CONTINUITY] chests or combs as soon as you put them down.

a tecknology

You can use instruments 'incorrectly' (BLOWING DOWN THE WRONG HOLE) and you can use them in such a way that exceeds their instrumentness.

(BEATING SOMEONE TO DEATH WITH A SAXOPHONE) The correct use of an instrument is softly constrained by convention, rigidly constrained by physical limits and falls over into non-instrumental use at an undefinable point.

(A SILENT INSTRUMENT CAN STILL BE IN USE) New technologies are only constrained by their physical limits, given the lack of convention - digital canvases are fresher.

The earliest instruments were probably bone flutes.

Subsequent developments:

ised the interface between body and instrument

liberated instruments from the constraints of the body interface between body and instrument interface between body and instrument interface between the constraints of the body interface instruments from the constraints of the mind interface in the constraints of the mind interface in the constraints of the mind in the constraints of th optimised the interface between body and instrument

/new instruments help us to think differently

The Sachs-Hornbostel system categorises the ways in which all instruments in the world make air vibrate. There is just a single category for 'electrophones', encompassing all the instruments that make sound through electronic means. This is ontology outstretching epistemology.

Technology has instigated a rupture that requires a reorganisation of knowledge, not just an expansion of terms.

The computer is not just a neutral instrument, enabling us to capture and generate new sounds - it feeds back into the system of

[actor-context-tool]

in such a way that it delivers a substantive expansion of the ontological object 'music'.

Unanchored from the demands of performance and skill,

electronic instruments open the doors to exploring sonics and texture, forging new aesthetics and placing the musical object at the centre [as opposed to the affective relationship between hearer-subject and musicobject being primary].

Unanchored from the demands of human cognition and competence,

a digital instruments open the doors to exploring the impossible, forging new modes of creation that explode the tentative relationship between subject and object entirely.

We are witnessing the fruition of a dialectic that stretches our understanding of technology and the ontology of music to breaking point.

Once it breaks, it must be built anew.

 \S_1

Sound can be illustrative.

Sound gives us information about the (EXTRA-AURAL) world.

In a famous essay, the Italian Futurist Russolo implied that noise is inherently a product of technology and that noise, in a sense, can only exist in a world shaped and permeated by technology.

Noise doesn't exist in a vacuum.

§3

Some noise is produced by technology, some by nature.

Music is a subcategory of noise, produced against a technological background.

The state of the world informs the music that can be created and the music that it makes sense to produce.

In the nineteenth century, with the invention of the machine Roise was born. ' - Luigi Russolo, 1913

'Ancient life was all s

Modernist composers distilled the sounds of the machine-world into formalist compositions that analogised the clang and clatter and carnage of the twentieth century; Cage delivered those compositions back to the world and dissolved the ontological distinction between music and noise, art and world.

A pendulum has swung from:

music and world being metaphysically distinct, connected via analogy and metaphor

and the world is energised and enlivened by sounds - those generated by technology, both musical and incidental

symbiosis

Sound tells us about our environment, in more ways than one. The bounce of an echo indicates how far away a wall is, the rumble of thunder warns us to expect rain, the metaphorical potential of music gives us access to a noumenal truth.

The world is the cluttered

orchestrated self emerges

ambience out of which music's

'Ancient life was all silence': nature provided our soundtrack.

Technology gives us new ways to mimic the sounds of nature but also new sounds to mimic.

Not only that, but technology gives us new dynamic contexts to create sound in; soups of phenomena to form new sounds from; new ways of conceiving of the relationship between sound and world because sound and world, in their symbiotic way, have redefined each other.

to: music and world being metaphysically entangled because sound and music collapsed together, thanks to technology's racket seeping in. **§**5

But the world doesn't just get noisier.

As our technology develops, the machines get quieter - cars prowl the streets with purring engines, factories house whirring robotics instead of clattering pneumatics, our houses insulate us from the world outside, we cocoon ourselves with headphones and curate our own soundworld.

Noises used to intrude on us. now we manage them.

Technological development doesn't produce inexorable change in a linear direction; it causes fissures, negations, syntheses. Our art responds accordingly.

FROM THRU WITH

TECHROLOGY (>)

commerce

AND AGAINST FOR

TRUTH

The music industry is defined by technology:

the means of production (AND ACCESS TO II)

Production used to require a division of labour,



but MIDI changed all that; the individual producer has complete control over the aum and the parts.

While digital technology has alienated music from the body, it has reconnected the individual with the fruits of their creative labour.

Cornelius Cardew argued that academic music (Stockhausen) served capitalist ends (Imperialism) by disconnecting itself from materialist concerns. To serve the people, so he wrote, music should communicate ideology and employ the working class in a democratised industry.

This is politics from a different technological age.

On the contrary, the bedroom producer is the archetypal flourishing Marxist: unalienated from their creative product, in total control of their means of production, and unconstrained by the demands of capital. The avantgarde academic has a fleeting freedom, the digital native has radical agency.

Music serves neither capital nor ideology.



Formats are technologically defined repositories for soundas-information.

Through formats, information is sold.

Formats constrain possible artworks, but the development of new ones creates space for acts of liminal ingenuity.

Digitisation is the process of converting analogue to digital, squeezing the old forms into new structures. When this happens there is always a residue - and sometimes that residue is a new form of art (Disintegration Loops).

When technologies of information consumption (the vinyl record, the printed book) align with aesthetic truths, new conceptual objects are born (the album, the novel). An Event, as Badiou would have it.

Experimentation with form and technological 'progress' cause these alignments of truth and medium to phase until new Events occur, with plenty of intermediary ephemera - dust clouds, yet to coalesce.

Digital formats can be infinite and musical objects are only constrained by our subjective apparatus.

What is the noumenal truth of music-as-object?



We reveal its distorted image through slices of technology and sell it by the pound.

